


**Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General) First BAMS**

		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<b>A GENERAL</b>						
1	The module objectives were clear	21.7	73.9	4.4		
2	The Module workload was manageable	17.4	65.2	7.4	5	5
3	The Module was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	30.4	65.2	4.4	5	5
		69.5	204.3	16.2	5	5
		23.17	68.10	5.40	1.67	1.67
<b>B Student Contribution</b>						
1	Approximate level of your own attendance during the whole Module	39.1	56.5	4.4		
2	I participated actively in the Module	34.8	52.2	13		
3	I think I have made progress in this Module	26.1	73.9			
4	I think the Module was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	34.8	52.2	8.7	4.3	
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation.	30.4	56.5	13.1		
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	30.4	56.5	13.1		
7	Classrooms were satisfactory	21.7	52.2	21.7	4.4	
		217.3	400	74	8.7	
		31.04	57.14	10.57	1.24	0.00
<b>C Learning Resources</b>						
1	Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Module Notes etc.) were relevant and useful	26.1	56.5	13	4.4	
2	Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant and appropriate	34.8	60.9	4.3		
3	The provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate	34.8	60.9		4.3	
4	The provision of learning resources on the Web was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	21.7	65.2	13.1		
		117.4	243.5	30.4	8.7	
		29.35	60.875	7.6	2.175	0
<b>D Quality of Delivery</b>						
1	The Module stimulated my interest and thought on the subject area	30.4	60.9	4.3		4.4
2	The pace of the Module was appropriate	21.7	60.9	17.4		
3	Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	34.8	60.9		4.3	
		86.9	182.7	21.7	4.3	4.4
		28.97	60.90	7.23	1.43	1.47
<b>E ASSESSMENT</b>						
1	The method of assessment was reasonable	30.4	52.2	17.4		
2	Feedback on assessment was timely	34.8	47.8	13	4.4	
3	Feedback on assessment was helpful	34.8	47.8	13	4.4	
		100	147.8	43.4	8.8	
		33.33	49.27	14.47	2.93	0.00
<b>G PRACTICAL</b>						
1	The material in the practical's was useful	39.1	60.9			
2	The demonstrators dealt effectively with the problems.	43.5	57.2	4.3		
		82.6	113.1	4.3		
		41.3	56.55	2.15	0	0



Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General) First BAMS		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	GENERAL	23.17	68.10	5.40	1.67	1.67
B	Student Contribution	31.043	57.143	10.571	1.243	0.000
C	Learning Resources	29.350	60.875	7.600	2.175	0.000
D	Quality of Delivery	28.967	60.900	7.233	1.433	1.467
E	ASSESSMENT	33.333	49.267	14.467	2.933	0.000
G	PRACTICAL	41.3	56.55	2.15	0	0

### FIRST YEAR-GENERAL




**Feedback on Curriculum First Year- Subject-wise**

		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<b>A</b>	<b>SANSKRIT</b>					
1	I understood the lectures	43.5	56.5			
2	The material was well organised and presented	39.1	56.5	4.4		
3	The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	47.5	52.5			
4	Had the instructors been regular through out the module?	30.4	69.6			
<b>B</b>	<b>SHARIR-KRIYA</b>					
1	I understood the lectures	52.2	47.8			
2	The material was well organised and presented	39.1	52.2	8.7		
3	The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	34.8	56.5	4.2	4.5	
4	Had the instructors been regular through out the module?	39.1	43.5	13	4.4	
		165.2	200	25.9	8.9	
		41.3	50	5.475	2.225	0
<b>C</b>	<b>SHARIR-RACHANA</b>					
1	I understood the lectures	47.8	52.2			
2	The material was well organised and presented	26.1	69.6	4.3		
3	The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	39.1	56.5	4.4		
4	Had the instructors been regular through out the module?	34.8	65.2			
		147.8	243.5	8.7		
		36.95	60.875	2.175	0	0
<b>D</b>	<b>PADARTH VIDNYAN</b>					
1	I understood the lectures	65.2	30.4	4.4		
2	The material was well organised and presented	65.2	34.8			
3	The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	60.9	34.8	4.3		
4	Had the instructors been regular through out the module?	60.9	39.1			
		252.2	139.1	8.7		
		63.05	34.775	2.175	0	0
<b>E</b>	<b>Maulik Siddhant &amp; Ashiang Sangrah</b>					
1	I understood the lectures	52.2	39.1	8.7		
2	The material was well organised and presented	47.8	52.2			
3	The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	43.5	43.5	13		
4	Had the instructors been regular through out the module?	43.5	52.2	4.3		
		187	187	26		
		46.75	46.75	6.5	0	0



Feedback on Curriculum First Year- Subject-wise		Strongly agreed	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	SANSKRIT	40.125	58.775	1.1	0	0
B	SHARIR-KRIYA	41.3	50	6.475	2.225	0
C	SHARIR-RACHANA	36.95	60.875	2.175	0	0
D	PADARTH VIDNYAN	63.05	34.775	2.175	0	0
E	Maulik Siddhant & Ashtang Sangrah	46.75	46.75	6.5	0	0



### Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General) Third BAMS


		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<b>A GENERAL</b>						
1	The module objectives were clear	40	50	10		
2	The Module workload was manageable	50	40	10		
3	The Module was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	50	40	10		
		140	130	30		
		46.67	43.33	10.00	0.00	0.00
<b>B Student Contribution</b>						
1	Approximate level of your own attendance during the whole Module	20	80			
2	I participated actively in the Module	30	70			
3	I think I have made progress in this Module	70	20	10		
4	I think the Module was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good	60	30	10		
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation.	50	30	20		
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	70	30			
7	Classrooms were satisfactory	30	60	10		
		330	320	50		
		47.14	45.71	7.14	0.00	0.00
<b>C Learning Resources</b>						
1	Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Module Notes etc.) were relevant and useful	50	50			
2	Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant and appropriate	50	50			
3	The provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate	60	30	10		
4	The provision of learning resources on the Web was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	30	60	10	0	10
		190	190	10	0	10
		47.5	47.5	2.5	0	2.5
<b>D Quality of Delivery</b>						
1	The Module stimulated my interest and thought on the subject area	50	40	10		
2	The pace of the Module was appropriate	40	50	10		
3	Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	50	30	10		10
		140	120	30	0	10
		46.67	40.00	10.00	0.00	3.33
<b>E ASSESSMENT</b>						
1	The method of assessment was reasonable	40	50	10		
2	Feedback on assessment was timely	40	50			10
3	Feedback on assessment was helpful	40	50	10		
		120	150	20	0	10
		40	50	6.67	0.00	3.33
<b>F PRACTICAL</b>						
	The material in the practical's was useful	60	30	10		
	The demonstrators dealt effectively with me problems.	50	40		10	
		110	70	10	10	
		55	35	5	5	



### THIRD YEAR

Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General) Third BAMS		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	GENERAL	46.67	43.33	10.00	0.00	0.00
B	Student Contribution	47.14	45.71	7.14	0.00	0.00
C	Learning Resources	47.50	47.50	2.50	0.00	2.50
D	Quality of Delivery	46.67	40.00	10.00	0.00	3.33
E	ASSESSMENT	40.00	50.00	6.67	0.00	3.33
F	PRACTICAL	55.00	35.00	5.00	5.00	0.00


### THIRD YEAR





Feedback on Curriculum 3rd Year- Subject-wise		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	AGADIANTRA	50	45	5	0	0
B	SWASTHAVRITTA	47.5	47.5	5	0	0
C	PTSR	57.5	42.5	0	0	0
D	KAUMARBHRITTYA	55	42.5	2.5	0	0
F	CHARAK SAMHITA UTTARARDHA	40	50	7.5	2.5	0

Feedback on Curriculum 3rd Year- Subject-wise






### Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General)- 4th Year

		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	GENERAL					
	1 The module objectives were clear	25.8	74.2			
	2 The Module workload was manageable	22.6	77.4			
3	The Module was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	32.3	64.5	3.2		
		80.7	216.1	3.2		
B	Student Contribution	26.9	72.03	1.07	0	0
C	1 Approximate level of your own attendance during the whole Module	29	71			
	2 I participated actively in the Module	25.8	71	3.2		
	3 I think I have made progress in this Module	25.8	74.2			
	4 Balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	38.7	61.3			
	5 The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation.	38.7	61.3			
	6 The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	29	71			
	7 Classrooms were satisfactory	12.9	80.6	6.5		
D	Learning Resources	199.9	490.4	9.7		
	1 Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Module Notes etc.) were relevant and useful	28.6	70.1	1.4	0.0	0.0
	2 Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant and appropriate	25.8	67.7	6.5		
	3 The provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate	25.8	64.5	9.7		
E	4 The provision of learning resources on the Web was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	25.8	67.7	3.5	3	
		19.4	77.4	3.2		
		96.8	277.3	22.9	3	
		24.2	69.325	5.725	0.75	0
F	Quality of Delivery					
	1 The Module stimulated my interest and thought on the subject area	22.6	74.2	3.2		
	2 The pace of the Module was appropriate	25.8	67.7	3.5	3	
G	3 Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	22.6	67.7	9.7		
		71	209.6	6.7	12.7	
		23.67	69.87	2.23	4.23	0.00
H	ASSESSMENT					
	1 The method of assessment was reasonable	22.6	77.4			
	2 Feedback on assessment was timely	25.8	74.2			
I	3 Feedback on assessment was helpful	29	71			
		77.4	222.6			
J	PRACTICAL	25.8	74.2	0	0	0
K	1 The material in the practical's was useful	16.1	77.4	3.5	3	
	2 The demonstrators dealt effectively with the problems.	25.8	67.7	3.5	3	
L		41.9	145.1	7	6	
		20.95	72.55	3.5	3	0




Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General)- 4th Year		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	GENERAL	26.90	72.03	1.07	0.00	0.00
B	Student Contribution	28.56	70.06	1.39	0.00	0.00
C	Learning Resources	24.2	69.325	5.725	0.75	0
D	Quality of Delivery	23.67	69.87	2.23	4.23	0.00
E	ASSESSMENT	25.8	74.2	0	0	0
F	PRACTICAL	20.95	72.55	3.5	3	0

Students Feedback General- 4th Year




**Feedback on Curriculum 4th Year- Subject-wise**

		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	KAYACHIKITSA					
	1   Understood the lectures	29	71			
	2   The material was well organised and presented	25.8	74.2			
	3   The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	29	71			
B	PANCHAKARMA					
	1   Understood the lectures	32.3	67.7			
	2   The material was well organised and presented	29	67.7	3.3		
	3   The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	67.7	32.3			
C	SHALYATANTRA					
	1   Understood the lectures	38.7	61.3			
	2   The material was well organised and presented	35.5	64.5			
	3   The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	32.3	64.5	3.2	3.2	
D	SHALAKYATANTRA					
	1   Understood the lectures	41.9	54.8	3.3	3.3	
	2   The material was well organised and presented	41.9	54.8			
	3   The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	35.5	58.1	3.2	3.2	
E	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEDICAL STATISTICS					
	1   Understood the lectures	32.3	64.5		3.2	
	2   The material was well organised and presented	29	67.7	3.3		
	3   The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	29	67.7	3.3		
	4   Had the instructors been regular through out the module?	154.8	232.2	6.5	6.5	
		154.8	232.2	6.5	6.5	
		38.7	58.05	1.63	1.63	0
	4   Had the instructors been regular through out the module?	119.3	267.6	9.9	3.2	
		119.3	267.6	9.9	3.2	
		29.83	66.90	2.48	0.80	0



Feedback on Curriculum 4th Year- Subject-wise		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	KAVACHIKITSA	29.03	70.98	0.00	0.00	0.00
B	PANCHAKARMA	37.90	61.28	0.83	0.00	0.00
C	SHALYATANTRA	34.70	63.70	0.80	0.80	0.00
D	SHALAKYATANTRA	38.70	58.05	1.63	1.63	0.00
E	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEDICAL STATISTICS	29.83	66.90	2.48	0.80	0.00




### Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General) Pre-PG

		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	GENERAL					
1	The module objectives were clear	35.1	62.2			2.7
2	The Module workload was manageable	35.1	64.9			
3	The Module was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes etc.)	29.7	70.3			2.7
		99.9	197.4		0	0.9
		33.3	65.8			
B	Student Contribution					
1	Approximate level of your own attendance during the whole Module	40.5	56.8	2.7		
2	I participated actively in the Module	40.5	56.8	2.7		
3	I think I have made progress in this Module	32.4	64.9	2.7		
4	tutorials, practical etc.)	29.7	70.3			
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation.	32.4	67.6			
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	29.3	70.7			
7	Classrooms were satisfactory	21.6	70.3	8.1		
		226.4	457.4	16.2		
		32.34	65.34	2.31	0.00	0.00
C	Learning Resources					
1	Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Module Notes etc.) were relevant and useful	32.4	62.2	5.4		
2	Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant and appropriate	37.8	59.5	2.7		
3	The provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate	40.5	59.5			
4	The provision of learning resources on the web was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	32.4	64.9	2.7		
		143.1	246.1	10.8		
		35.78	61.53	2.70	0.00	0.00
D	Quality of Delivery					
1	The Module stimulated my interest and thought on the subject area	32.4	64.9	2.7		
2	The pace of the Module was appropriate	37.8	62.2			
3	Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	40.5	59.5			
		110.7	186.6	2.7		
		36.9	62.2	0.9	0	0
E	ASSESSMENT					
1	The method of assessment was reasonable	32.4	67.6			
2	Feedback on assessment was timely	35.1	64.9			
3	Feedback on assessment was helpful	35.1	64.9			
		102.6	197.4			
		34.2	65.8	0	0	0
F	PRACTICAL					
1	The material in the practical's was useful	37.8	62.2			
2	The demonstrators dealt effectively with the problems.	32.4	62.2	5.4		
		70.2	124.4	5.4		



	35.1	62.2	2.7	0	0
--	------	------	-----	---	---

Student's Feedback on Curriculum (General) Pre-PG		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	GENERAL	33.30	65.80	0.00	0.00	0.90
B	Student Contribution	32.34	65.34	2.31	0.00	0.00
C	Learning Resources	35.76	61.53	2.70	0.00	0.00
D	Quality of Delivery	36.90	62.20	0.90	0.00	0.00
E	ASSESSMENT	34.20	65.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PRACTICAL	35.10	62.20	2.70	0.00	0.00




**Feedback on Curriculum - Subject-wise- Pre PG**

		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	KAYACHIKITSA	a	17.6	82.4		
		b	11.8	88.2		
		c	18.8	81.2		
		d	18.8	81.2		
2	PANCHAKARMA	a	41.2	58.8		
		b	41.2	58.8		
		c	47.1	52.9		
		d	41.2	58.8		
3	SHALVATANTRA	a	42.675	57.325	0	0
		b	31.3	68.7		
		c	31.3	68.7		
		d	31.3	62.5	6.2	
4	SHALAKYATANTRA	a	14.3	78.6	7.1	
		b	28.6	71.4		
		c	28.6	64.3	7.1	
		d	35.7	57.1	7.2	
5	PISR	a	107.2	271.4	21.4	
		b	26.8	67.85	5.35	
		c	17.6	82.4		
		d	11.8	88.2	5.8	
6	KAUMARBHRIYA	a	17.6	82.4		
		b	11.8	82.4	5.8	
		c	11.8	88.2		
		d	17.6	82.4		
7	KAUMARBHRIYA	a	58.8	335.4	5.8	
		b	14.7	83.85	1.45	
		c	38.5	61.5		
		d	46.2	46.2	7.6	
8	KAUMARBHRIYA	a	15.4	84.6		
		b	25	75		
		c	125.1	267.3	7.6	
		d	125.1	267.3	7.6	



31.28	66.83	1.90	0.00	0.00
-------	-------	------	------	------


Feedback on Curriculum - Subject-wise- Pre PG						
		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	KAYACHIKITSA	16.75	83.25	0	0	0
2	PANCHAKARMA	42.675	57.325	0	0	0
3	SHALYATANTRA	31.3	67.15	1.55	0	0
4	SHALAKYATANTRA	26.8	67.85	5.35	0	0
5	PTSR	14.7	83.85	1.45	0	0
6	KAUMARBHARTYA	31.275	66.825	1.9	0	0





ALUMNI-CURRICULUM- 2022

A	GENERAL	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	The module objectives were clear	41.7	50	8.3		
2	The Module workload was manageable	50	41.7	8.3		
3	The Module was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	50	33.3	8.4	8.3	
		141.7	125	25	8.3	
		47.23	41.67	8.33	2.77	0
<b>B</b>	<b>Student Contribution</b>					
4	Approximate level of your own attendance during the whole Module	50	50			
5	I participated actively in the Module	50	41.7	8.3		
6	I think I have made progress in this Module	41.7	50		8.3	
7	I think the Module was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	50	41.7			8.3
8	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation.	50	41.7			8.3
9	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	58.3	33.3			8.4
10	Classrooms were satisfactory	50	33.3	16.7		
		350	291.7	25	8.3	25
		50.00	41.67	3.57	1.19	3.57
<b>C</b>	<b>Learning Resources</b>					
11	Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Module Notes etc.) were relevant and useful	50	41.7			8.3
12	Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant and appropriate	50	41.7	8.3		
13	The provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate	50	50			
14	The provision of learning resources on the Web was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	33.3	50	8.4		8.3
		183.3	183.4	16.7		16.6
		45.825	45.85	4.175	0	4.15
<b>D</b>	<b>Quality of Delivery</b>					
15	The Module stimulated my interest and thought on the subject area	50	41.7	8.3		
16	The pace of the Module was appropriate	50	41.7	8.3		
17	Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	50	41.7			8.3
		150	125.1	16.6		8.3
		50.00	41.70	5.53	0.00	2.77
<b>E</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT</b>					
18	The method of assessment was reasonable	58.3	33.3	8.4		
19	Feedback on assessment was timely	58.3	33.4			8.3
20	Feedback on assessment was helpful	58.4	33.3	8.3		
		175	100	16.7		8.3
		58.33	33.33	5.57		2.77
<b>F</b>	<b>PRACTICAL</b>					




21	The material in the practical's was useful	41.7	50			8.3
22	The demonstrators dealt effectively with me problems.	50	41.7	8.3		
		91.7	91.7	8.3		8.3
		45.85	45.85	4.15	0	4.15
	Total	297.2	250.1	31.3	4.0	17.4
		49.54	41.68	5.22	0.66	2.90

ALUMNI-CURRICULUM- 2022

		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A	GENERAL	47.23	41.67	8.33	2.77	0.00
B	Student Contribution	30.00	41.67	3.57	1.19	3.57
C	Learning Resources	45.83	45.85	4.18	0.00	4.15
D	Quality of Delivery	50.00	41.70	5.53	0.00	2.77
E	ASSESSMENT	58.33	33.33	5.57	0.00	2.77
F	PRACTICAL	45.85	45.85	4.15	0.00	4.15


Chart Title



Overall Analysis Feedback- Alumni-22

	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	49,540	41,679	5,222	0,659	2,901
2					
3					
4					
5					

Overall Assesment Feedback Alumni-22



41679 38475



Sr No	Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum-22	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Curriculum is based on the needs of the stakeholders	15	8			
2	Course objectives and outcomes of the course are well defined and clear to faculties and students	12	10	1		
3	Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the library.	9	6	8		
4	The course curriculum has good balance between theory and practical application	15	8			
5	The course curriculum has made me interested in the subject area	15	4	1		3
6	The curriculum of this course has increased knowledge potential in its application	15	8			
7	Infrastructural facilities, such as faculty rooms, class rooms, reading rooms and toilets are available in the department.	6	10	3	1	3
8	Tests and Examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the curriculum	16	6			1
9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques of teaching such as seminar, presentations, group discussions and students participation.	15	8			
10	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	12	10	1		
11	The management provides adequate and smooth support for project and research facilities.	10	13			
12	The management provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.	10	11	1		1
13	Provision for professional development is fair.	11	10	1		1
		161	112	16	1	9
		53.85	37.46	5.35	0.33	3.01

Overall Analysis of Feedback- Teachers-22		Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		53.846	37.458	5.351	0.334	3.010

